

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/887,685	DIACONESCU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia L Davis	2665	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Cynthia L Davis.

(3) _____.

(2) L. Anne Kinsman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2005

Time: Approx. 10:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 5. The word "type" ~~has been~~ would be deleted from claims 1 and 5.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Kinsman authorized a proposed examiner's amendment, deleting the word "type" from the first line of claims 1 and 5, so as to avoid a 112 2nd, indefiniteness rejection (see Ex Parte Copenhaver, 109 USPQ 118 (Bd. App 1955)).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)